Mr KS Kahlon, Advocate.

Earlier application of the petitioners for the grant of pre-arrest bail was dismissed by this Court on 26.3.2007 by passing the following order:-

> "Present: Mr KS Kahlon, Advocate for the petitioners.
>
> Heard learned counsel for the petitioners at length. Petition and the impugned order vide which the bail application of the petitioners stands declined have been perused by me.
>
> Since the prosecution case in brief is already reflected in the impugned order, I do not feel the necessity of describing the same once again.
>
> Having regard to the facts of the case and keeping in view the seriousness of the offence, allegedly committed by the petitioners, they do not deserve the concession of anticipatory bail, which has to be granted under exceptional circumstances. The present case does not fall under the said category,
>
> Resultantly, the instant petition is dismissed."

Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that the x-ray report of Jaswant Singh (Annexure P2) and x-ray report of Charan Singh (Annexure P3) were earlier not available and now these have been made available. According to the learned counsel, it is submitted that as per x-ray report (Annexure P2) of Jaswant Singh, injury No.1 is grievous and injury No.2 is

simple. Injury No.1 is stated to be on the right elbow of Jaswant Singh. Shokeen Singh armed with Takua gave a blow on the right elbow of the complainant – Jaswant Singh. Petitioner No.2 – Bachittar Singh was armed with a Datar and gave a Datar blow on the father-in-law of the complainant which landed on the calf of the left leg. The father-in-law of the complainant, it is stated is Charan Singh. The said injury, as per the x-ray report, is stated to be simple.

Having given my thoughtful consideration to the matter, it may be noticed that this Court has already declined the concession of anticipatory bail.

Dismissed.

24.4.2007. (S.S.SARON)
ASR JUDGE